

Logforum - Editors Gate

Title: Title

Abstract:

Abstract

1. General value of the paper

- Novelty
- Valuable contribution
- Confirmation of existing views
- Outside the scope of Logforum

2. Title of the manuscript

- Adequate
- Inadequate

3. Methods

- Adequate
- Inaccurately described
- Inadequate

4. Illustrative material

- Adequate number and quality
- Quality of illustration inadequate
- Completion necessary
- Inadequate

5. Interpretation of results

- Correct
- May be accepted after corrections suggested by the Reviewer
- Incorrect

6. Bibliography

- Properly compiled
- Not in conformity with instruction
- Incomplete
- Improper choice of references

7. Abstract

- Satisfactory
- Too short
- Does not characterize the essence of the work
- Needs thorough revision

8. Language of the paper

- Good
- Should be improved

9. General opinion and suggestions concerning publication in Logforum

- Very good – highly recommendable
- Good – recommendable if corrected according to suggestions
- Acceptable after drastic revision
- Poor – should not be published in Logforum

Comments