



REVIEWER'S FORM

LOGFORUM

Author(s):.....

Title:

.....

.....

Please put a cross (+) in the appropriate box.

1. General value of the paper

- a) Novelty
- b) Valuable contribution
- c) Confirmation of existing views
- d) Outside the scope of *LOGFORUM*

2. Methods

- a) Adequate
- b) Inaccurately described
- c) Inadequate

3. Statistical evaluation

- a) Sufficient
- b) May be accepted after corrections suggested by the Reviewer
- c) Missing but necessary, or based on false assumptions

4. Illustrative material and tables

- a) Adequate number and quality
- b) Should be improved
- c) Inadequate or insufficient

5. Interpretation of results

- a) Correct
- b) May be accepted after corrections suggested by the Reviewer
- c) Incorrect

6. Bibliography

- a) Properly compiled
- b) Not in conformity with instruction
- c) Incomplete; important references missing
- d) Improper choice of references

7. Abstract

- a) Satisfactory
- b) Does not characterize the essence of the work
- c) Needs thorough revision

8. Language of the paper

- a) Good
- b) Should be improved
- c) Needs thorough revision

9. General opinion and suggestions concerning publication in *LOGFORUM*

- a) Very good – highly recommendable
- b) Good – recommendable if corrected according to suggestions
- c) Acceptable after drastic revision
- d) Poor – should not be published in *LOGFORUM*

Comments
(comments are compulsory)

Date

.....
Reviewer's signature

University degree and full name of Reviewer

Place of work.....

.....

Address.....

Phone, e-mail.....